

## Interview Summary

Application No. 09/073,825 Applicant(s)

Group Art Unit

Tsujimoto

	Ken Peterson	3724
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, F	PTO personnel):	
(1) Ken Peterson	(3)	
(2) Nick Bromer 33478	(4)	
Date of Interview 3 Jan 2001		
Type:   Telephonic   Personal (copy is given to	☐ applicant	presentative).
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted:	No. If yes, brief description:     ■	
Agreement $\square$ was reached. $\boxtimes$ was not reached.		
Claim(s) discussed: 8		
Identification of prior art discussed:  Yoshida '324, Lucki and Vosburgh		<del></del> .
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the ar the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where n is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)		
1.   It is not necessary for applicant to provide a se	eparate record of the substance of	the interview.
Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indic LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST IN Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office actio FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT	ICLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE n has already been filed, APPLICAN	INTERVIEW. (See MPEP IT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH
<ol> <li>Since the Examiner's interview summary above each of the objections, rejections and requirem claims are now allowable, this completed form Office action. Applicant is not relieved from p is also checked.</li> </ol>	ents that may be present in the last is considered to fulfill the response	st Office action, and since the e requirements of the last
	•	KEN PETERSON

PRIMARY EXAMINER **ART UNIT 3724** 

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.